stated rule; as is the general but not uniform tendency of Federal appellate tribunals.

Also, the Appellate Court below permitted errors of law to stand uncorrected which were misconceived to be "fact" issues having the protection of the stated rule. Respondent repeats this error in its presentation opposing granting the writ.

Dated: September 28, 1966.

Respectfully submitted,

ELI ELLIS,
Counsel for Petitioner,
26 Broadway,
New York, N. Y. 10004.

WILSON & LYNAM,
HILL, BETTS, YAMAOKA, FREEHILL & LONGCOPE,
of Counsel.